

E-print: hep-th/0110011

Supersymmetric Bag Model as a Development of the Witten Superconducting String¹

A. Ya. Burinskii

NSI, Russian Academy of Sciences, e-mail:bur@ibrae.ac.ru

We consider particlelike solutions to supergravity based on the Kerr-Newman BH solution. The BH singularity is regularized by means of a phase transition to a new superconducting vacuum state near the core region. We show that this phase transition can be controlled by gravity in spite of the extreme smallness of the local gravitational field.

Supersymmetric BPS domain wall model is suggested which can provide this phase transition and formation the stable charged (dual) superconducting core.

The simplest consistent Super-Kerr-Newman BH solution [1] was constructed on the base of the (broken) Ferrara-Nieuvenhuisen N=2 Einstein-Maxwell D=4 supergravity. Since source (or singularity) of this solution is covered by BH horizon, the matter chiral fields of supergravity are not involved at all. However, for the large angular momentum corresponding to spinning particles the Kerr horizons are absent, and there appears a naked singularity. It can be regularized by a matter source [2] built of the nontrivial chiral (Higgs) fields.

One of the approaches to regularization of the particlelike BH solutions is based on the old idea of the replacement of singularity by a "semiclosed world", internal space-time of a constant curvature (M. Markov, 1965; I. Dymnikova [3]). ²

¹ Talk given at the SUSY'01 conference (Dubna, June 11-17, 2001) and at the IX Workshop on High Energy Spin Physics, SPIN-01 (Dubna, August 2-7, 2001).

²The Dirac classical electron model (generalization of this model to the charged and

We consider development of these models leading to a non-perturbative soliton-like solution to supergravity and assuming that the external field is the Kerr-Newman black hole solution and the core is described by a domain wall bubble based on the chiral fields of a supersymmetric field model.³

The Kerr-Schild class of metrics

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + 2hK_\mu K_\nu. \quad (1)$$

allows one to consider the above regularization for the rotating and nonrotating, charged and uncharged BH's in unique manner [2]. It allows one to describe the external BH field and the internal (A)dS region, as well as a smooth interpolating region between them without especial matching conditions, by using one smooth function, $f(r)$, of the Kerr radial coordinate r .

Here η is an auxiliary Minkowski metric, K_μ is a vortex field of the Kerr principal null congruence, and scalar function h has the form⁴

$$h = f(r)/(r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta). \quad (2)$$

In particular, for the Kerr-Newman BH solution

$$f(r) = f_{ext}(r) = mr - e^2/2, \quad (3)$$

where m and e are the total mass and charge. The transfer to nonrotating case occurs by $a = 0$ when the Kerr congruence turns into a twist-free "hedgehog" configuration, and r, θ are usual spherical coordinates. It is important that function $f(r)$ is not affected by this transfer that allows one to simplify treatment concentrating on the $a = 0$ case.

By $a = 0$, the regularizing core region of a constant curvature can be described by $f = f_{int}(r) = \alpha r^4$, where $\alpha = \Lambda/6$, Λ is cosmological constant, and energy density in core is⁵ $\rho = \frac{3}{4}\alpha/\pi$.

rotating bubble in gravity was given by L6pez, 1984, see ref. in [2]), as well as the bag models could also be included in this class when one assumes that regularization is provided by a flat core region.

³Close analogy of the BH and domain wall solutions in supergravity was mentioned in [7]

⁴For $a \neq 0$ the Kerr coordinates r and θ are oblate spheroidal ones.

⁵In this case, as shows (2), gravitational singularity is regularized also by $a \neq 0$.

A smooth matching of the internal and external metrics is provided by smooth function $f(r)$ interpolating between f_{int} and f_{KN} . The radial position r_0 of the phase transition region can be estimated as a point of intersection of the plots f_{int} and f_{ext} ,

$$\frac{4}{3}\pi\rho r_0^4 = mr_0 - e^2/2. \quad (4)$$

Analysis shows [2] that for charged sources there appears a thin intermediate shell at $r = r_0$ with a strong tangential stress that is typical for a domain wall structure. Dividing this equation on r_0 one can recognize here the *mass balance equation*

$$m = M_{int}(r_0) + M_{em}(r_0), \quad (5)$$

where m is total mass, $M_{int}(r_0)$ is ADM mass of core and $M_{em}(r_0) = e^2/2r_0$ is ADM mass of the external e.m. field. It should be mentioned, that gravitational field is extremely small at r_0 , especially as r_0 is much more of gravitational radius⁶ ($r_0/m \sim 10^{42}$). Nevertheless, eq. (5) shows that *phase transition is controlled by gravity, but nonlocally!* Note, that M_{int} can be either positive (that corresponds to dS interior) or negative (AdS interior). As we shall see, supergravity suggests AdS vacua inside the bubble.

As consequence of this treatment we obtain also some demands to the supergravity matter field model.

- i - It has to provide a phase transition between internal and external vacua.
- ii - External vacuum has to be (super)-Kerr-Newman black hole solution with *long range* electromagnetic field and zero cosmological constant.
- iii -Internal vacuum has to be (A)dS space with superconducting properties.

These demands are very restrictive and cannot satisfied in the known solitonlike bag, domain wall and bubble models. Main contradiction is connected with demands ii) and iii) since in the most of models external electromagnetic field is short range. An exclusion is the $U(I) \times \tilde{U}(I)$ field model which was used by Witten to describe the cosmic superconducting strings [4]. Our suggestion is to use this field model for description the superconducting baglike configuration. The model contains two sectors A and B (two

⁶In particular, if interior is flat ($\rho = 0$) $r_0 = e^2/2m$ -‘classical electromagnetic radius’.

Higgs and two gauge fields). One of the gauge fields (sector A) we set as long range external electromagnetic field. It acquires mass at the core region where the Higgs A-field forms a configuration similar to "lumps", Q-balls and other non-topological solitons [5], but with a specific form of potential. The other Higgs field (sector B) forms a superconducting bag with confined inside the bag second gauge field.

Supersymmetric version of the Witten field model (suggested by J. Morris [6]) has effective Lagrangian of the form

$$L = -2(D^\mu \phi)(\overline{D_\mu \phi}) - 2(\tilde{D}^\mu \sigma)(\overline{\tilde{D}_\mu \sigma}) - \partial^\mu Z \partial_\mu \bar{Z} - \frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}F_B^{\mu\nu}F_{B\mu\nu} - V(\sigma, \phi, Z), \quad (6)$$

where the potential V is determined through the superpotential W as

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^5 |\partial_i W|^2, \quad (7)$$

and the superpotential $W(\Phi^i)$ is a holomorphic function of the five complex chiral fields $\Phi^i = \{Z, \phi, \bar{\phi}, \sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}$,

$$W = \lambda Z(\sigma\bar{\sigma} - \eta^2) + (cZ + m)\phi\bar{\phi}. \quad (8)$$

In the effective Lagrangian the "bar" is identified with complex conjugation, so there are really only three independent scalar fields, and the "new" (neutral) fields Z provides the synchronization of the phase transition. The supersymmetric vacuum states corresponding to the lowest value of the potential are determined by the conditions

$$\partial_i W = 0; \quad (9)$$

and yield $V = 0$. These equations lead to two supersymmetric vacuum states:

$$I) \quad Z = 0; \quad \phi = 0; \quad |\sigma| = \eta; \quad W = 0, \quad (10)$$

we set it for external vacuum; and

$$II) \quad Z = -m/c; \quad \sigma = 0; \quad |\phi| = \eta\sqrt{\lambda/c}; \quad W = \lambda m \eta^2 / c, \quad (11)$$

we set it as a state inside the bag.

The treatment of the gauge field A_μ and B_μ in B -sector is similar in many respects because of the symmetry between A and B sectors allowing one to consider the state $\Sigma = \eta$ in outer region as superconducting one ⁷ in respect

⁷The version of dual superconductivity in B -sector seems the most interesting.

to the gauge field B_μ . Field B_μ acquires the mass $m_B = g\eta$ in outer region, and the $\tilde{U}(I)$ gauge symmetry is broken, which provides confinement of the B_μ field inside the bag. The bag can also be filled by quantum excitations of fermionic, or non Abelian fields.

One can check the phase transition in the planar wall approximation (neglecting the gauge fields). It can be shown that it is a BPS-saturated domain wall solution interpolating between supersymmetric vacua I) and II). Using the Bogomol'nyi transformation one can represent the energy density as follows

$$\rho = T_{00} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}[(\Phi^i)_{,z})(\Phi^j)_{,z}) + (\frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi^i})(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi^j})] \quad (12)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}[\Phi^i_{,z} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi^j}][\Phi^j_{,z} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi^i}] - \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi^i}\Phi^i_{,z}, \quad (13)$$

where the last term is full derivative. Then, integrating over the wall depth z one obtains for the surface energy density of the wall

$$\epsilon = \int_0^\infty \rho dz = \frac{1}{2} \int \Sigma_i (\Phi^i_{,z} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi^i})^2 dz + W(0) - W(\infty). \quad (14)$$

The minimum of energy is achieved when the first-order Bogomol'nyi equations $\Phi^i_{,z} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi^i} = 0$ are satisfied, or in terms of Z, Φ, Σ

$$Z' = -\lambda(\Sigma^2 - \eta^2) - c\Phi^2, \quad (15)$$

$$\Sigma' = -\lambda Z\Sigma, \quad (16)$$

$$\Phi' = -(cZ + m)\Phi. \quad (17)$$

Its value is given by $\epsilon = W(0) - W(\infty) = \lambda m \eta^2 / c$. Therefore, this domain wall is BPS-saturated solution. One can see that the field Z , which appears only in the supersymmetric version of the model, plays an essential role for formation of the phase transition.

The structure of stress-energy tensor contains the typical for domain walls tangential stress. The non-zero components of the stress-energy tensor have the form

$$T_{00} = -T_{xx} = -T_{yy} = \frac{1}{2}[\delta_{ij}(\Phi^i)_{,z})(\Phi^j)_{,z}) + V]; \quad (18)$$

$$T_{zz} = \frac{1}{2}[\delta_{ij}(\Phi^i)_{,z})(\Phi^j)_{,z}) - V] = 0. \quad (19)$$

The energy of an uncharged bubble forming from the BPS domain wall is

$$E_{0bubble} = E_{wall} = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \rho r^2 dr \approx 4\pi r_0^2 \epsilon. \quad (20)$$

However, the Tolman mass $M = \int dx^3 \sqrt{-g}(-T_0^0 + T_1^1 + T_2^2 + T_3^3)$, taking into account tangential stress of the wall, is negative

$$M_{Tolm.bubble} = -E_{wall} \approx -4\pi r_0^2 \epsilon. \quad (21)$$

It shows that the uncharged bubbles are unstable and form the time-dependent states [7].

Charged bubbles have extra contribution caused by the energy and mass of the external electromagnetic field

$$E_{e.m.} = M_{e.m.} = \frac{e^2}{2r_0}, \quad (22)$$

and contribution to mass caused by gravitational field of the external electromagnetic field (determined by Tolman relation for the external e.m. field)

$$M_{grav.e.m.} = E_{e.m.} = \frac{e^2}{2r_0}. \quad (23)$$

As a result the total energy for charged bubble is

$$E_{tot.bubble} = E_{wall} + E_{e.m.} = 4\pi r_0^2 \epsilon + \frac{e^2}{2r_0}, \quad (24)$$

and the total mass will be

$$M_{tot.bubble} = M_{0bubble} + M_{e.m.} + M_{grav.e.m.} = -E_{wall} + 2E_{e.m.} = -4\pi r_0^2 \epsilon + \frac{e^2}{r_0}. \quad (25)$$

Minimum of the total energy is achieved by

$$r_0 = \left(\frac{e^2}{16\pi\epsilon_{min}} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (26)$$

which yields the following expressions for total mass and energy of the stationary state

$$M_{tot}^* = E_{tot}^* = \frac{3e^2}{4r_0}. \quad (27)$$

One sees that the resulting total mass of charged bubble is positive, however, due to negative contribution of M_{bubble} it can be lower than BPS energy bound of the domain wall forming this bubble. This is a remarkable property of the bubble models, existence the ‘ultra-extreme’ states [7]) gives a hope to overcome BPS bound and get the ratio $m^2 \ll e^2$ which is necessary for particle-like models.

For the rotating Kerr case $J = ma$ and for $J \sim 1$ one finds out that parameter $a \sim 1/m$ has Compton size. Coordinate r is an oblate spheroidal coordinate, and matter is foliated on the rotating ellipsoidal layers [2]. Curvature of space is concentrated in equatorial plane, near the former singular ring, forming a stringlike tube. ⁸

In supergravity, for strong fields there is also an extra contribution to stress-energy tensor leading to negative cosmological constant $\Lambda = -3k^4 e^{k^2 K} |W|^2$ which can yield AdS space-time for the bag interior. The treatment shows that:

- in spite of the extreme smallness of the local gravitational field supergravity can control the position of phase transition at unexpectedly large distances;
- core of the Kerr spinning particle has a disklike shape, and one can expect a sensitivity of differential sections for polarized spinning particles depending on the direction of polarization.

The considered here supersymmetric model is more complicated than the traditionally used domain wall models [7], and it demonstrates some new properties. One of the peculiarities of this model is the presence of gauge fields which, as it was shown in thin wall approximation, allow one to stabilize bubble to a finite size. Second peculiarity is the presence of a few chiral superfields that can give a nontrivial sense to Kähler metric $K^{i\bar{j}}$ of the supergravity field models. One can expect that extra degrees of freedom of the Kähler metric can play a role in formation of the bent domain wall configurations. On the other hand, the connected with superconductivity chiral fields acquire a nontrivial geometrical interpretation in the Seiberg–Witten theory and in the Landau – Ginzburg theory where the chiral superfields refer to

⁸For the parameters of electron the phase transition region represents an oblate rotating disk of Compton size and thickness $\sim e^2/2m$.

the moduli of the internal Calabi–Yau spaces [8]. It gives an interesting link to higher dimensions with an alternative look on compactification.

We are thankful to M. Cvetič, S. Hildebrandt, S. Manayenkov, A. Efremov, O. Teryaev and A. Wipf for useful discussions.

References

- [1] A. Burinskii, *Super-Kerr-Newman solution to broken $N=2$ supergravity*, Class. Quantum Grav.**16**(1999)3497, hep-th/9903032;
- [2] A. Burinskii, *Supersymmetric superconducting bag as a core of Kerr spinning particle*, e-prints hep-th/0008129, hep-th/0011188, A. Burinskii, E. Elizalde, S. R. Hildebrandt and G. Magli, *Regular sources of the Kerr-Schild class for rotating and nonrotating black hole solutions* (to be published in Phys. Rev.D), gr-qc/0109085;
- [3] I. Dymnikova, *Vacuum nonsingular black hole*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **24**(1992)235;
- [4] E. Witten, *Superconducting strings*, Nucl.Phys., **B249**(1985)557.
- [5] S. Coleman, *Q balls*, Nucl. Phys. B262 (1985) 263
- [6] J.R. Morris, *Supersymmetry and gauge invariance constraints in a $U(1) \times U(1)'$ – Higgs superconducting cosmic string model*, Phys.Rev.**D 53**(1996)2075
- [7] M. Cvetič and H. Soleng, *Supergravity domain walls*, Phys. Rept. **282**(1997)159, hep-th/9604090
- [8] B.R.Greene, C.Vafa and N.P. Warner, *Calabi-Yau manifolds and renormalization group flows*, Nucl.Phys.**B 324**(1989)371